

Suggested Information to be Provided to Yorkshire and the Humber Senate to Enable Senate Assessment of Proposals*

In a short (2 – 4 A4 pages) document please provide:

1. Narrative summary of the current position in respect to the services covered by proposals. Please make clear what services are in the scope of this review.
2. Why your proposals for change need to be considered i.e. what is the case for change/ the problem you are trying to solve.
3. What proposals/options/service models did you develop to address those problems?
4. Which proposals/options/service models were ruled out, and why?
5. What is your proposed model and how does this address the challenges raised in the case for change and how does this benefit the patient/ population?

Please advise where in your submission of evidence we can find information on the following points *(please re-order these if you wish)*

		Your document and page number
6	How your proposed model ensures equity in access to services for the population you serve, and how it could reduce inequalities in health.	
7	How do you present the vision for integrated services (i.e. the link between social care/ primary care and acute services)?	
8	Where has the impact on mental health services been considered?	
10	How would this model be staffed? Information on recruitment, availability and capability of staff and the sustainability of the workforce.	
11	Are there any implications for estate (e.g. capital build)?	
12	How do the options (or the preferred model) fit with clinical evidence and clinical best practice?	

**This is suggested information only. Based on our experience this is the information the panel will need to be able to provide a clinical appraisal of most of the proposals we receive. However, not all of this may be applicable to your review and you may wish to re-order the suggestions.*

13	What are the clinical risks of implementing the proposals and how will these be mitigated? How are these recorded on your risk register?	
13	Where has the impact on ambulance emergency and Patient Transport Systems been considered?	
14	If there are implications for time to intervention, how has this impact been assessed with ambulance, staff and patients?	
15	What are the expected outcomes and benefits of delivery of this proposed model?	
16	How will you audit and assess the outcomes and benefits?	
17	Where is the evidence of clinical leadership and engagement in the development of the options/ preferred model?	
18	<p>In order for us to understand the level of engagement can you advise on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please advise if the proposals have been subject to public engagement or if this stage has not yet been reached. If so, can you reference the engagement in the design stages and the extent to which the community believes the proposals will deliver real benefits? If local Healthwatch has been involved, please include their advice. • Please advise what engagement there has been to date with elected members and with the local Health and Wellbeing Board. • Please advise if these proposals have as yet been discussed with front line staff. 	
19	What is your decision-making process and timescales?	
20	How will the changes be implemented, including phasing?	

END

**This is suggested information only. Based on our experience this is the information the panel will need to be able to provide a clinical appraisal of most of the proposals we receive. However, not all of this may be applicable to your review and you may wish to re-order the suggestions.*